

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ದೀಪ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ 10ರಷ್ಟು ವರಮಾನ ಬರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಿಂದೆ ಒಂದುಸಾರಿ 7½ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ವರಮಾನ ಬಂದರೆ ಸಾಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಡಾ|| ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ನಿಜ. ಅದು ಹೇಗೆ ಅಂದರೆ ಪೂರೈಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದಹಾಗೆ ಮೈದಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 7½ ಬರಬೇಕು, ಮಲೆನಾಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 5 ಬರಬೇಕು. ಒರಿಜಿನಲ್ ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಕನೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅಂದರೆ power for lighting. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ 10 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇಡೀ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ಪವರ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ 7½ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಬರಬೇಕು. ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ connections ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ 10 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥವೇ ?

ಡಾ|| ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಏ ಒಂದು principle ಷುಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಂಗಾರಡ್ಡಿ.—ಹೋದ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮಿನಿಮಂ ಇರುವುದನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಡಾ|| ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ನನಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕವಿಲ್ಲ.

MR. SPEAKER.—Questions are all over.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

Reversion of Sri N. V. Babu Reddy.

MR. SPEAKER.—Sri B. V. Narayana Reddy has given notice of an adjournment motion to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance which runs as follows :

“ This House do stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of public importance and of recent occurrence, namely, the high-handed, arbitrary, irregular and improper reversion on 19-4-1954 of Sri N. V. Babu Reddy, officiating Inspector-General of Police, as D.I.G. without any enquiry and without framing a charge and without giving him any opportunity and even without consulting the Government of India or the Union Public Service Commission and perhaps with a view to screen the alleged offenders who have had a hand in fabricating and substituting ‘FIR’s and complaints in Dasara disturbances and firing cases now under revision before the High Court of Mysore by removing Sri N. V. Babu Reddy who was not willing to become a tool in the hands of the Government.”

No doubt this adjournment motion refers to a specific matter of recent occurrence. At the outset I may point out that the motion is not worded in proper language and it is also full of allegations and imputations. The matter proposed to be discussed in the motion obviously refers to an order passed in the ordinary course of administration.

As the Hon’ble Members are aware, transfer, promotion, reversion and any other departmental action in respect of officers are matters of ordinary administration. The law also provides for the right to prefer appeals, or memorials or to take other suitable steps by the officers concerned. If there is any grievance with respect to an order, the remedy may be sought elsewhere under the law, if any. Therefore this cannot be a ground for moving the motion for an adjournment of the business of the Assembly. These matters are not permitted to be debated on a motion for adjournment of the House. This is the result of a series of rulings in the House of Commons and in all other legislatures. The motion is therefore inadmissible. On these grounds, I hold the motion is not in order and I disallow the same.

Statistics relating to Questions and Resolutions.

MR. SPEAKER.—

	Total Number
Questions tabled	... 1042
Questions admitted	... 1023
Questions disallowed	... 19
Questions withdrawn	... 1
Questions lapsed since the Member has ceased to be a Member	10
Questions for which replies have been furnished including tomorrow's set.	967
Replies on hand (which cannot be printed.)	6
Questions to which replies are due	... 40

Short Notice Questions.

	Total number
Questions tabled ...	43
Disallowed ...	30†
Questions admitted ...	13
Questions answered ...	8
Questions returned to the Member ...	1
Questions sent to Minister concerned for giving consent and furnishing replies ...	4
†(Consent of the Minister not given—7; Disallowed by the Speaker—23).	

Resolutions.

	Total number
Resolutions tabled ...	84
Resolutions admitted ...	83
Resolutions which were not discussed due to the absence of Members or discussed in some other form ...	21
Resolutions moved and withdrawn ...	18
Resolution pressed for division and negatived ...	1
Resolutions not reached for want of time ...	43

Right of Member of Select Committee to differ from Report subsequently.

Sri M. LINGANNA (Nanjangud).—Sir, yesterday I sought permission of the Chair to place before it a ruling given in the Legislative Council in the year 1948 in regard to the right of a Member who was in the Select Committee and who subsequently changed his views and criticised the report of the Select Committee. The ruling given is in respect of the Temple Entry Authorisation Bill. Sri M. Madiah was a member of the Select Committee and later he even moved an amendment to that particular Bill. Sri O. Veerasappa who was one of the members raised a point of order objecting that a Member who was also a member of the Select Committee should not differ from the opinion of the Select Committee and criticise its report. The ruling of the Chair was like this :

“The point of order will be decided by the Chair and not by the Hon’ble Member.....It is a

recognised principle everywhere that though a Member happens to be in the Select Committee and agreed to the report at that time, the moment the Bill is passed by the Select Committee and comes to the Council, he may change his mind. He is at perfect liberty to criticise”

Mr. SPEAKER.—I cannot understand, I said the same thing. I simply said, ‘you have changed your mind so soon,’ because you had signed the report on the 14th April.

Business of the House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, you said yesterday that today would be the last day of the Session. Whether the House is going to adjourn *sine die* or there is any indication of the next Session, if the Hon’ble Leader of the House can give us an indication, we will be grateful. We can adjust our programme also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Perhaps after lunch hour, in consultation with the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition, I may announce.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—Some time may be set apart for Inams Abolition Bill, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It was understood yesterday that both these Bills will be passed today.

1 P. M.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE**(1) Notification Under Cattle Trespass Act.**

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, on behalf of Sri T. Channiah, Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government, I beg to lay on the Table of the House a copy of Notification No. L. 667/M.L. 142-54-2, dated 19th May 1954, as required by subsection (2) of Section 12 of the Cattle Trespass Act, 1871.

(2) Mysore (Personal and Miscellaneous) Inams Abolition Bill, 1954.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for